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PM optimization is a systematic process to get the best equipment reliability. This is done by identifying and improving on weaknesses in maintenance performance and frequencies. PM optimization is a process, a series of questions or a guiding decision matrix, that helps make your preventive maintenance (PM) more efficient and effective. It enables the optimization of resources, while instilling best practices.

You need PM optimization if:
- Your PM tasks add minimal or no value;
- There is insufficient wording or clarity on your PMs;
- Decisions are being made without sufficient information;
- You are performing the wrong kind of PM tasks;
- PM tasks cause as many problems as they fix due to lack of accessibility, modular replacement, visual controls, training, etc.;
- You can’t get your predictive and condition-based maintenance tasks done because all you are doing are reactive repairs;
- PM tasks don’t seem to be effective;
- PM intervals are too short or long.

Figure 1: PM tasking improvement tools
You can do PM optimization as part of a comprehensive reliability-centered maintenance (RCM) implementation, a full or shortened version of a failure mode and effects analysis (FMEA), or by itself. The extensiveness of your analysis should be guided by the risk and severity of failure consequences.

However, with high internal competition for scarce resources, most organizations have small finite time available to do a full RCM and/or FMEA analysis. As such, the recommendation is to focus 80 percent of your time available for continuous improvement on PM optimization and the other 20 percent on FMEA and full RCM investigations.

RCM may be your guiding philosophy, but how many weeks or months are you willing to set aside for RCM and FMEA projects each year? If the risks and potential consequence of failure are high, as in the aerospace industry, you do it. But for most industries, it’s a decision between reliability goals and bottom-line financial results.

Many of the maintenance tasks come from the original equipment manufacturer (OEM) and are often required to maintain warranty. Many others are added to respond to emergency repairs and avoid similar situations. A large number are still there only because they are being carried over from some past issue, reasoning, or justification that is no longer valid.

Table 1 compares the outcome of five PM optimization efforts from different industries. The first study is based on industrial equipment, the next two studies are from mines and the final two are from two different data centers.

The infrastructure of data centers (e.g., pumps, fans, cooling tower, transformers, compressors, air handlers, fire protection, filters, power supply, etc.) is just as ripe for improvements as most other industries. There may be some facilities that would not benefit much from PM optimization, but those have not been found as yet.

Table 1 – Comparison of Five PM Optimization Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of PM Tasks</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>&gt;300</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results of PM Optimization:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliminate the Task</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>49.90%</td>
<td>24.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use Task As Is</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>52.40%</td>
<td>14.80%</td>
<td>38.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Task to:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PdM/Condition-Based</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>13.00%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend Task Intervals</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce Task Intervals</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0.30%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add New Task</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>7.70%</td>
<td>22.70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Role/Responsibility (Assign to Operator and Lubrication, Outsource Service, Reengineer, Further Analysis Needed)</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>14.60%</td>
<td>12.60%</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3% Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3. Ibid
6. 12.60 percent also includes items marked with *.
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