



Certified Reliability Leader Workshops

The industry's most advanced thinking in reliability



of reliability improvement efforts fail to generate sustainable business success.

The CRL Workshop explores the WHY and WHAT of reliability, providing you the understanding so you can move from failed initiatives to successful ones.



Included in your registration

4-Day Workshop Pass

Uptime Elements Passport Series plus Travel Guide - \$150 value

30 - day free trial to the Uptime Elements Academy Learning Management System - \$299 value



BRING YOUR TEAM!

For Dates and Locations:

reliabilityleadership.com 239.333.2500 | 888.575.1245 crm@reliabilityweb.com





The Human Factors' Influence on

Maintenance Reliability Management

by Krishnan Shrikanth







Human factors play a vital role in influencing maintenance reliability management in an organization. This article presents some specifics on the influence of culture and leadership in the process and chemical industries.

In a world where globalization is dynamic and workforces represent cross-cultural borders, it becomes imperative to take into consideration the key pillars to organizational effectiveness. The first P, PEOPLE, focuses on the interrelationship of people and groups within any organization and takes these factors into account when designing and administering the organization. People have an impact on profitability since leadership and workforce behaviors play a role in process assets and operational excellence.

Human Cultural Factors in Management

Culture refers to an organization's values, beliefs and behaviors. The key enablers in creating the culture are enhanced by how the strategic leadership framework is developed. This framework consists of creating a vision and mission, ensuring availability of resources and empowering people to achieve organizational excellence. It has been well established that social and psychological factors are important to worker satisfaction and productivity. Considerable advancements in the motivation model by Maslow, Herzberg, McClelland and McGregor apply in understanding worker motivation. More recently is the introduction of participative management and self-empowered shop floor teams, reflecting the Japanese concept of the autonomous operator maintenance team. As the industrial climate changes the style of human factors, management also changes.

Maintenance Reliability Management Behavioral Characteristics

So, what is the maintenance reliability excellence culture? It's a culture where every human cultural factor has an influence on the organization's efforts toward achieving its maintenance reliability objectives.

Human behavioral factors that could influence maintenance reliability are broadly classified under six categories. Details for each influential factor from a cultural perspective are presented.

Safety Culture Behaviors: In many organizations, a safety manager or plant manager is responsible for safety. This is absolutely not accepted behav-

ior since it is everyone's responsibility to ensure a safe work environment. Maintenance tasks and reliability improvement efforts are closely related to field safety. Some key influencing factors are practices related to safe work permits, confined space and vessel entry protocol, lockout-tagout policies, electrical work for high voltage panels permits, excavation work permits, regulatory inspections and environmental permits from an equipment maintenance standpoint. The degree of influence and drive from management to maintenance reliability teams to take over this challenge is one of the most important cultural factors. Management's commitment and leadership drive for safety should be part of the organizational culture.

Equipment Ownership Equipment Ownership: This factor involves the degree to which the maintenance workforce or operators feel a sense of personal ownership for the equipment or area of the plant. Where ownership exists, the equipment tends to be operated and maintained correctly. One key step is to move toward small, self-empowered, plant-oriented, operator maintenance teams comprised of five to seven members. Each team is responsible for operating a designated plant area

and focusing on efforts, such as lubrication, minor adjustments and servicing. This means operators need to be trained in superficial maintenance and they have to own it.

This is well characterized by concepts like total productive maintenance (TPM) or operator-based maintenance (OBM).





Functional Organizational Structure: To build and manage effective, collaborative global teams, you must focus on the people/organizational factor. Balancing a traditional hierarchy maintenance reliability national structure versus the global/matrix structure, which is becoming more popular with globalization and working across cross-country borders, needs continued management support to transition the same.

Organizational Structure

Outsourcing Maintenance

We vs Them Polarization

Centralized vs Decentralized

Figure 2: Elements of a functional organizational structure impacting the maintenance reliability culture

We versus them polarization: The production/maintenance conflict is well known and goes something like this: "Production ran and damaged it and we mend it." In other words, "They operate it incorrectly and never let us have the equipment for proper maintenance." The production view is: "We make the money and maintenance does not understand our objectives – we give them the plant for a shift and they keep it for a day." The "we" versus "them" syndrome indicates an amount of polarization in the organization.

Outsourcing maintenance alliances on human factors: The sense of ownership becomes more challenging with this type of organizational structure. However, there are ways to make this alliance a success factor. One consideration is to deliver a level of service tied to key performance indicators (KPIs). In this way, the contractor alliance brings in the right

Repair vs Capacity
Assurance Mind-set

Maintenance
Programs
Turnarounds,
P&S, PM,
Contractors,
MIQA

Reliability Programs
RCM, RBI, RCFA, FMEA, PdM,
CBM, etc.

38 uptime aug/sept 16

skilled workforce with expertise in functions like job planning, stores management, etc. This impacts the motivation of all production maintenance teams, as well as job security and morale of maintenance employees.

Centralized versus decentralized maintenance structure: This is another example of a cultural impact to the production unit. The key to cultural effectiveness is how well management strategies are aligned to cater to the maintenance structure.

Repair versus capacity assurance function: The mind-set change requires maintenance reliability functions to support capacity utilization at the minimum designed levels. This allows the organization to get more from current or existing facilities with proper balance to safety, quality and cost factors.

Reliability Characteristics

Structured maintenance and reliability programs: Programs,

like reliability-centered maintenance (RCM), risk-based inspection (RBI), predictive maintenance (PdM), condition-based maintenance (CBM), turnaround management and critical safety/regulatory inspection regimes, contribute to eliminating premature failure of the asset. The impact is felt with improved production capability and reduction in overall facility costs.

Data Mining / CMMS System

Data Mining and Analytics: With recent trends to utilize lean, Six Sigma approaches to improve reliability performance and minimize variability in production processes by using principles of statistical process control, trend analysis and threshold set point reviews, the quest for data gathering and analytics is gaining increased importance. Data varies from operational performance data, like pressure, temperature, flow rates, etc., to vibration analysis, oil analysis, infrared thermography

results, ultrasonic testing, electrical motor current analysis, partial discharge/corona detection, computerized maintenance management system (CMMS) data capture, quality and integrity, and many more. How to consolidate all the data in a common platform and make a meaningful analysis poses a challenge to the reliability function.

Best Practices in Maintenance and Operational Areas: Based on benchmarking studies from various industry sectors with several statistical data mining, there are certain prescribed practices worth considering to enable higher plant capacity utilization and lower total costs. Maintenance practices should focus on process and behavioral safety aspects, plan-

Best Practices / Defect Elimination



Figure 4: Best practices / sustainability characteristics impacted by human culture

ning and scheduling, skills development and training, operator maintainer relationship and involvement, and analysis tools and techniques. Operational practices should provide the ability to detect abnormalities accurately and quickly, set optimal asset conditions and promote TPM and OBM concepts. The impact can be felt on the number of run to failure cases versus planned maintenance strategy execution, leading to higher team motivation and morale.

Defect Elimination/Do It Right Maintenance Quality: Quality of work is defined as "do it right the first time, always." But human errors made during preventive maintenance (PM) or CBM tasks may eventually lead to additonal failures. This may be due to incorrectly installing a replacement part, using the wrong material of construction, or using defective parts not identified with proper tagging. Also contributing to poor quality are the skills of maintenance or contractor personnel on the task. So, how is a "do it right" culture achieved? Some proven ways to overcome defects are: provide training and procedures for critical and complex assembly systems, use a proper checklist, use the right calibrated tools and perform quality root cause failure analysis (RCFA) and failure modes and effect analysis (FMEA). Equally important is how management appreciates and rewards quality work among the team, such as recognition for reduced rework, improved uptime and reduced total costs, as a result of effective maintenance quality practices.

Conclusion

Clearly, human dynamics in maintenance reliability is a challenging management function to keep the dynamics of unit reliability, safety, cost in balance with market demand and customer expectations. These factors are gained more from experiencing and managing a maintenance reliability function, with the type of asset, manufacturing industry, culture of the country you work in and your personal cultural fit playing key roles in shaping the characteristics of a good maintenance reliability organization.

Many KPIs reflect the impact of your cultural factors. The most important KPIs are the safety performance of the plant and the balance of reliability (availability) to total cost of the unit. Some contributing KPIs are lowest downtime, highest uptime, zero breakdowns, zero accidents, highest overall equipment effectiveness (OEE), best scheduling compliance, lowest maintenance cost to estimated replacement value (ERV)/replacement asset value (RAV) ratio, increased maintenance effectiveness, etc.

All of these require some cultural change or paradigm shift of the maintenance reliability approach.

Is your organization ready?

References

- Gulati, Ramesh and Mears, Christopher. Maintenance and Reliability Best Practices. South Norwalk: Industrial Press, Inc., 2014.
- Kelly, Tony. BCM World Conference Paper. Auditing Human Factors in Maintenance Management. The Business Continuity Institute, 2000.



Krishnan Shrikanth is an independent consultant and director for S Cube Reliable Solutions Pte Ltd. in Singapore. Shrikanth has 29 years of experience as a manufacturing professional with an emphasis in maintenance management and reliability management. He is a certified Lean Six Sigma Black Belt in the arena of maintenance reliability. <u>www.scubereliasol.com</u>

Congratulations to the newest

CERTIFIED RELIABILITY LEADERS!

Angelo AgoncilloStanford Healthcare

Ahmad Al-Jehani Yansab/SABIC

Salvatore Ambrosino *MTA/NYCT*

Steven Aronsen
MTA/NYCT

Kenneth Arthur GP Strategies.com

Randy Elder Merck

Howie Emerson
Bentley Systems

Paul Fergusson Syngenta

Wilfredo Figueroa MTA/Bridges and Tunnel

Sergio Gellida CBRE

Jordan Good *Penn State University*

Donald Scott Harris Honda Manufacturing of Alabama

Raymond Hernandez MTA/Buses

Ian Higham

Paul JerchaStanford Healthcare

Michael D. Jones
Vulcan Materials Company

Charles E. Kelly SD Myers, Inc.

Manny Leon
MTA/Bridges and Tunnel

Carl Mangelsdort

Alanzo Marshall MTA/Buses **Gordon McLean**

Syngenta

Wayne Mizelle Siemens

Chris Murphy *CLH Ventures Inc*

Cherise Myers MTA/NYCT

Arismendis Pena *SD Myers, Inc.*

Josh Pinkard *Mueller Company*

Kevin Powell CBRE Inc

Matthew K. Ricketts Mueller Water Products

John Douglas Robey GP Strategies.com

Jason Simpson *Boise Cascade*

John St. Clair MTA/Buses

William Sterkel *Bay Cities*

Brian Stevens Setech, Inc.

Andrew Tang *MTA/Long Island Railroad*

George Thomas

Patrick Vasfaret
ATI Millersburg Operations

Charlene Welsh MTA/NYCT

Martin West HollyFrontier

Robert Willetts
Petrofac



Online 4 US ASSET CONDITION MANAgement

Online Ultrasound & Vibration Monitoring









Online4US is a multi-channel online monitoring solution that combines both ultrasound and vibration sensors in 4 to 32 channel modular configurations. It answers a necessary demand to have advanced warning of critical asset failure in remote areas.

- Real-time data
- Wireless/Wired Communication
- Modular system
- Up to 32 measurement channels
- Easy, user friendly set-up



www.online4us.com